

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/685,427	10/16/2003	Chih-Ming Chen	0941-0857P	5818
2292	7590 01/24/2006		EXAMINER	
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH PO BOX 747			EDMONDSON, LYNNE RENEE	
	RCH, VA 22040-074	7	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1725	

DATE MAILED: 01/24/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				V.		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/685,427	CHEN, CHIH-MING			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Lynne Edmondson	1725			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. a period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 N</u>	lovember 2005.				
		s action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowa		secution as to the merits is			
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are pending in the applicat 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrated Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>16 October 2003</u> is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureausee the attached detailed Office action for a list	is have been received. Is have been received in Application rity documents have been received u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No. <u>10/201,935</u> . ed in this National Stage			
Attachmen	nt(s)					
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
3) Infon	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Day 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/685,427 Page 2

Art Unit: 1725

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Tung et al. (USPN 6543676 B2).

Tung teaches a screen printing apparatus, which would have a plurality of through holes for printing solder (figure 4C and col 4 lines 31-46).

3. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Chan et al. (USPN 6592943 B2).

Chan teaches a screen printing apparatus (stencil) with a plurality of through holes for printing solder (col 9 line 55 – col 10 line 41).

Response to Arguments

4. In response to applicant's argument that neither Chan nor Tung teaches that the device is used to print between pins to mark circuit boards and that the instant invention teaches printing isolation portions rather than solder portions, a recitation of the

Art Unit: 1725

intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim.

The instant structure is a printing screen capable of printing a variety of compositions and materials on a variety of substrate configurations.

Therefore the 102 rejection of claims 1 and 2 as anticipated by Tung stands and the 102 rejection of claims 1 and 2 as anticipated by Chan stands.

Conclusion

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lynne Edmondson whose telephone number is (571) 272-1172. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 6:30 a.m. to 5 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Ryan can be reached on (571) 272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Lynne Edmondson
Primary Examiner
1756
1756

LRE